

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,575	04/15/2004	Tomi Heinonen	037145-1501	8670
30542 FOLEY & LA	7590 05/29/2007 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX-80278			RAJAN, KAI	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/825,575	HEINONEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
			3736			
The MAILING DATE of	this communication a	Kai Rajan ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		•	·			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin	ROM THE MAILING neer the provisions of 37 CFR 1 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by state than three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	<u> April 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.						
-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 41</u> is/are p	ending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are						
•	6) Claim(s) 1 - 41 is/are rejected.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are su	oject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ne drawing(s) be held in abeya				
			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) I he oath or declaration	is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)						
		ents have been received.				
		ents have been received in				
•			n received in this National Stage			
* *		eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies no	at received.			
oco ino allaonou detalli	2 3 3 4 4 10 4	5. 55 555 55pis5 ii				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-	892)	4) □ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	rawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of 6) C Other: _	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/825,575

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges the amendment filed April 25, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mazar et al. U.S. Patent No. 7,009,511.

1. A method, comprising:

receiving at a mobile wireless event handling device, a first signal via a first network, from a monitor adapted to convey information relating to physiological parameters (Column 4 lines 22 - 32, column 15 lines 22 - 45, figure 5 items 606 and 608), the first signal comprising at least a general broadcast emergency signal and including information corresponding to the physiological parameters (Column 3 lines 55 - 58, column 5 line 66 - column 6 line 14, column 7 line 49 - column 8 line 14) and an identification of the monitor (Column 10 lines 6 - 10); and

Art Unit: 3736

transmitting from the mobile wireless event handling device, a second signal via a second network (Column 15 line 46 – column 16 line 3, figure 5 item 612), the second signal including at least information corresponding to the identification of the monitor (Column 10 lines 6-10).

Independent claims 12, 24, 33, and 39 are rejected on substantially the same basis as claim 1. See previous office action for rejections to dependent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Mazar et al. fails to teach a monitoring device that broadcasts a general emergency signal. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Mazar et al. teaches a physiological monitor (medical device 102, 104, or 106) that can transmit directly to peripheral devices such as cell phones (remote peripheral device 109). These transmissions notify caregivers of the patient's health (Column 3 lines 55 – 58, column 5 line 66 – column 6 line 14, column 7 line 49 – column 8 line 14). The advantages of the Applicant's invention such as cost and size are irrelevant as to whether the applied prior art satisfies the claim limitations. The transmissions sent from the medical device of Mazar et al. to peripheral devices or directly to the communication system 110 comprise a "general broadcast emergency signal," therefore the claim limitations have been met. Furthermore, Applicant has not provided a specific definition for "general broadcast signal." The broadest reasonable interpretation of the phrase "general broadcast signal" is such that the prior art is sufficient to reject the limitation.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kai Rajan whose telephone number is 571-272-3077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM to 4:00PM.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KR May 23, 2007 Michael Astorino